

Dear Mr Singleton,

Thank you for considering my Deadline 6 submission in your 30th August 2019 request for additional information. Please see my comments below (yours are in red).

3.3.1

At ISH2, the Applicant was asked to respond to Daniel Williams' concerns [REP2-178] about the effects of traffic on properties along the A449 to the south of Station Drive. The ExA notes that, although Mr Williams is not identified by name in all of the relevant responses in the Applicant's Deadline 3 Response to Other Parties [REP3-007], the main points of concern were dealt with in responses set out within pages 114 to 126 of that submission.

Thank you for drawing my attention to this. I have now reviewed what the applicant has said on pages 114 – 126 of REP3-007 which is relevant to my Deadline 2 submission and I would like to wait and make comment on this in my Deadline 8 submission. I would like to withhold comment until Deadline 8 so that I can respond to the applicant's Deadline 3 and 7 submissions with a single document.

The Applicant also responded to Section 1 of Mr William's Deadline 2 representation concerning rail infrastructure in its response to ExQ2.2.27.[REP5-003]. Mr Williams has made a further written submission at Deadline 6 [REP6-036]. Can the Applicant please provide a response to Mr Williams' questioning of the Applicants previous responses and the specific questions which are set out in sections 2 and 3 of his new submission?

The questions in my Deadline 6 submission [REP6-036] are contained within sections 2 and 4 not 2 and 3.

It would be greatly appreciated if the applicant could answer all of the questions in sections 2 and 4 of REP6-036 and have regard to the contents of sections 2 and 3 of my Deadline 2 submission [REP2-178]. The applicant does not need to answer the questions laced into REP2-178. The questions have evolved and it would be most helpful if the applicant replies only to the REP6-036 questions.

3.3.2

Highways England is requested to review the submissions made by Daniel Williams at Deadlines 2 [REP2-178] and 6 [REP6-] and to consider whether it wishes to comments on those submissions over and above what is said in HE's post hearing submission at Deadline 6 [REP4-016]. Please provide any further written comments on Mr William's concerns about the effects on the A449 south of Station Drive and his suggestions for possible mitigation of any adverse effects by Deadline 7.

Understanding where the actual tipping points for mitigation are, rather than just being told what the applicant is not responsible for, would be very helpful. I am completely at a loss to understand how the ExA can make an informed judgement on the viability of the scheme without this information.

Kind regards,

Daniel Williams